

# **Kinetics of the Deprotonation of Methylnitroacetate by Amines: Unusually High Intrinsic Rate Constants for a Nitroalkane**

Claude F. Bernasconi,\* Moisés Pérez-Lorenzo, and Shoshana D. Brown

*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Uni*V*ersity of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064*

*bernasconi@chemistry.ucsc.edu*

*Recei*V*ed February 22, 2007*

$$
XCH_2NO_2 \xrightarrow{B} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^+ & \delta^- \\ \delta^+ & H \cdot \cdot \cdot CH \\ & X \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{B H^+} XCH = NO_2
$$
  

$$
\Delta G_0^{\dagger} (X = CO_2Me) \ll \Delta G_0^{\dagger} (X = H)
$$

A kinetic study of the reversible deprotonation of methylnitroacetate (**4H**) by primary aliphatic amines, secondary alicyclic amines, hydroxide ion, and water in water at 25 °C and in 50% DMSO/50% water (v/v) at 20 °C is reported. Intrinsic rate constants, *k*0, determined by extrapolation or interpolation of Brønsted plots have been determined. In comparison to proton transfers involving other nitroalkanes, the intrinsic rate constants for  $4H$  are exceptionally high; for example, log  $k_0$  for the reaction of  $4H$  with secondary alicyclic amines in water (1.22) is 1.81 log units higher than  $\log k_0$  for nitromethane (-0.59), while in 50% DMSO/50% water,  $\log k_0$  for **4H** (2.44) is 1.71 log units higher than that for nitromethane (0.73). A general discussion of the factors affecting intrinsic rate constants of proton transfer from nitroalkanes is presented; it provides the context for an understanding as to why  $k_0$  is so high for the proton transfers from **4H**. The correlation between intrinsic rate constants for the addition of nucleophiles to alkenes of the type  $R'R''C=CXY$  and the intrinsic rate constants of proton transfers from carbon acids of the type  $H_2CXY$  is also discussed as a general proposition as well as with specific reference to the  $Ph(SMe)C=C(NO<sub>2</sub>)CO<sub>2</sub>Me/H<sub>2</sub>C(NO<sub>2</sub>)CO<sub>2</sub>Me pair.$ 

# **Introduction**

Proton transfers involving nitroalkanes have generated a great deal of interest over many years.<sup>1-16</sup> A major reason for this interest is that they represent textbook cases of what makes proton transfers from carbon acids activated by  $\pi$ -acceptors very much slower than proton transfers from "normal" acids.<sup>17</sup> In fact, the intrinsic rate constants  $(k_0)^{18}$  of reactions of the type

of eq 1 are typically more than 10 orders of magnitude lower than those for the diffusion-controlled deprotonation of normal acids.

$$
H - C - NO_2 + B^{\nu} \xrightarrow{ } C = NO_2^- + BH^{\nu+1}
$$
 (1)

The main factor responsible for the slowness of reactions such as eq 1 as well as proton transfers from carbon acids with  $\pi$ -acceptors other than nitro groups is the transition state which is imbalanced in the sense that the degree of charge delocal- (1) Fukuyama, M.; Flanagan, P. W. K.; Williams, F. T.; Frainier, L.;

Miller, S. A.; Schechter, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 4689.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Bordwell, F. G.; Boyle, W. J., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 3907. (b) Bordwell, F. G.; Bartmess, J. E.; Hautala, J. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 3107.

<sup>(3)</sup> Kresge, A. J. *Can. J. Chem.* **1975**, *52*, 1897.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Keeffe, J. R.; Munderloh, N. H. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1974**, 17. (b) Keeffe, J. R.; Morey, J.; Palmer, C. A.; Lee, J. *J. Am. Chem.*

*Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 1295. (5) Cox, B. G.; Gibson, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1974**,

<sup>638.</sup>

<sup>(6) (</sup>a) Wilson, J. C.; Källsson, I.; Saunders, W. H., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 4780. (b) Amin, M.; Saunders, W. H., Jr. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *6*, 393.

<sup>(7)</sup> Kurz, J. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 8631.

<sup>(8) (</sup>a) Bernasconi, C. F.; Kliner, D. A. V.; Mullin, A. S.; Ni, J.-X. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 3342. (b) Albery, W. J.; Bernasconi, C. F.; Kresge, A. J. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *1*, 29. (c) Gandler, J. R.; Bernasconi, C. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 631. (d) Bernasconi, C. F.; Wiersema, D.; Stronach, M. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 217. (e) Bernasconi, C. F.; Ni, J.-X. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 4910. (f) Bernasconi, C. F.; Panda, M.; Stronach, M. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 9206. (g) Bernasconi, C. F.; Montan˜ez, R. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 8162. (h) Bernasconi, C. F.; Kittredge, K. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 1994. (i) Bernasconi, C. F.; Wenzel, P. J.; Keeffe, J. R.; Gronert, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 4008. (j) Bernasconi, C. F.; Ali, M.; Gunter, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 151. (k) Bernasconi, C. F.; Wenzel, P. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 6870.

ization into the  $\pi$ -acceptor group lags behind proton transfer.<sup>21</sup> This is shown, in exaggerated form, in eq 2 for a generalized carbon acid with the  $\pi$ -acceptor Y. Because of the imbalance, the transition state cannot take advantage of the resonance stabilization of the carbanion since this stabilization is barely developed at the transition state. As a result, the intrinsic barrier  $(\Delta G_0^{\dagger})$  of the reaction is very high<sup>22</sup> or the intrinsic rate constant (*k*0) is very low. In hydroxylic solvents, the lag in the solvation of the negative charge adds to the overall imbalance and contributes substantially to the enhanced intrinsic barrier.<sup>21</sup>

$$
H - \stackrel{1}{C} - Y + B^{\vee} \longrightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} v + \delta \\ B \cdots H \cdots C - Y \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \right] \stackrel{\dagger}{\longrightarrow} \sum C = Y^{\top} + BH^{\vee + 1} \tag{2}
$$

The deprotonation of nitroalkanes is characterized by stronger imbalances than the deprotonation of any other carbon acids, and hence, these reactions have the highest intrinsic barriers or lowest intrinsic rate constants.

Apart from solvent effects, there are significant variations in the intrinsic rate constants of eq 1 which depend on what other groups are attached to the central carbon. For example, in water with secondary alicyclic amines as the proton acceptors, log *k*<sup>0</sup> values of  $-1.22$  (PhCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>),  $8a -0.59$  (CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>),  $8a +1.02$  $(PhSCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>)$ ,<sup>8h</sup> and 1.57  $(PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>)$ <sup>8g</sup> have been reported. A broad understanding of these variations should contribute new insights into the factors that affect intrinsic barriers of proton transfers and of other reactions, as well.

In this paper, we report a kinetic study of the deprotonation of methylnitroacetate by a series of primary aliphatic and secondary alicyclic amines as well as by OH<sup>-</sup> and water. The

(14) Caldin, E. F.; Mateo, S. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1975**, *71*, 1879.

- (15) Yamataka, H.; Mustanir, Mishima, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 10223.
- (16) Pross, A.; Shaik, S. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 1129.
- (17) Eigen, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1964**, *3*, 1.

(18) The intrinsic barrier,  $\Delta G_0^{\dagger}$  (intrinsic rate constant,  $k_0$ ), of a reaction with a forward rate constant  $k_1$  and a reverse rate constant  $k_{-1}$  is defined as  $\Delta G_0^* = \Delta G_1^* = \Delta G_{-1}^*$  ( $k_0 = k_1 = k_{-1}$ ) when  $\Delta G^\circ = 0$  ( $K_1 = 1$ ).<sup>19,20</sup> For proton transfers, statistical factors are usually included.<sup>20</sup>

(19) Marcus, R. A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1968**, *72*, 891.

(20) Keeffe, J. R.; Kresge, A. J. In *In*V*estigation of Rates and Mechanisms of Reactions*; Bernasconi, C. F., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986; Part 1, p 747.

(21) For reviews, see: (a) Bernasconi, C. F. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1987**, *20*, 301. (b) Bernasconi, C. F. *Ad*V*. Phys. Org. Chem.* **<sup>1992</sup>**, *<sup>27</sup>*, 119. (c) Bernasconi, C. F. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1992**, *25*, 9.

(22) For the reaction in the reverse direction, it is the early loss of anionic resonance stabilization that is the cause of the high intrinsic barrier.

motivation for this study was twofold. The first one is to further explore the effect of substituents attached to the central carbon of nitroalkanes on the intrinsic barriers of proton transfers. The second is related to previous observations according to which the equilibrium constants and intrinsic rate constants of nucleophilic additions to activated alkenes of the types  $1-3$  often correlate with the  $pK_a$  values and intrinsic rate constants, respectively, for the deprotonation of the corresponding carbon acids H2CXY.21b,23,24



Methylnitroacetate (**4H**) is the carbon acid that corresponds to **5**, whose reactions with thiolate ion and amine nucleophiles have recently been investigated. Our study was performed in water at 25 °C and in 50% DMSO/50% water at 20 °C; the latter is the same solvent used for the reactions of **5** and other alkenes with nucleophiles.<sup>23,24</sup>

$$
\begin{matrix}\text{MeO}_2\text{CCH}_2\text{NO}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{Ph}} \text{C}=\text{C}_{\text{w}}^{\text{pNO}_2}\\ \text{MeS} & \xrightarrow{\text{MeS}} \text{CO}_2\text{Me}\n\end{matrix}
$$

**Results**

**General Features.** All rate and  $pK_a$  determinations were carried out at an ionic strength of 0.5 M maintained with KCl. The rates were measured under pseudo-first-order conditions with **4H** as the minor component. The reactions were monitored spectrophotometrically at 295 nm (water) or at 304 nm (50% DMSO/50% water), which corresponds to  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  of the methylnitroacetate ion in the respective solvents.

**Acidity Constant.** The  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  of **4H** in water was determined by classic spectrophotometric methodology applying eq 3 where *A* is the absorbance at pH  $\sim pK_a^{\text{CH}}$ 

$$
pH = pK_a^{CH} + \log \frac{A - A_{C^-}}{A_{CH} - A}
$$
 (3)

measured in aminoacetonitrile buffers,  $A_C$ <sup>-</sup> is the absorbance of the anion measured in KOH solution, and  $A_{CH}$  is the absorbance of the carbon acid measured in HCl solution. A plot of log( $A - A_C$ -)/( $A_{CH} - A$ ) versus pH is shown in Figure S1 of<br>the Supporting Information;<sup>25</sup> it yields  $pK_a^{CH} = 5.58 \pm 0.02$ .<br>As discussed below, this  $pK_a^{CH}$  value was confirmed kinetically. The p*K*<sub>a</sub><sup>CH</sup> of **4H** in 50% DMSO/50% water (5.95  $\pm$  0.05) has been determined before <sup>26</sup> 0.05) has been determined before.26

**Kinetics.** A complete reaction scheme which includes the fast equilibrium between the nitronate ion (**4**-) and the nitronic acid (**H4**) is shown in eq 4;  $K_a^{\text{NOH}}$  is the acidity constant of the nitronic

MeO<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> 
$$
\frac{k_1^{\text{H}_2O} + k_1^{\text{OH}}[OH^-] + k_1^{\text{BH}}[B]}{4H}
$$
  
\nMeO<sub>2</sub>CCH=NO<sub>2</sub> 
$$
\frac{H^+}{k_1^{\text{M}_0/H}}
$$
  
\nMeO<sub>2</sub>CCH=NO<sub>2</sub> 
$$
\frac{H^+}{k_1^{\text{M}_0/H}}
$$
  
\nMeO<sub>2</sub>CH=NO<sub>2</sub> 
$$
\frac{H^+}{k_1^{\text{M}_0/H}}
$$
  
\nH4  
\nacid, while B and BH<sup>+</sup> refer to the amine and protonated amine, respectively. The observed pseudo-first-order rate constant for  
\n*J. Org. Chem, Vol. 72, No. 12, 2007* 4417

respectively. The observed pseudo-first-order rate constant for

<sup>(9) (</sup>a) Farrell, P. G.; Fogel, P.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Lelièvre, J.; Terrier, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1985**, 51. (b) Fogel, P.; Farrell, P. G.; Lelièvre, J.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Terrier, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1985**, 711. (c) Terrier, F.; Lelie`vre, J.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Farrell, P. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* 1985, 1479. (d) Lelièvre, J.; Farrell, P. G.; Terrier, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1986**, 333. (e) Farrell, P. G.; Terrier, F.; Xie, H. Q.; Boubaker, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 2546. (f) Terrier, F.; Xie, H. Q.; Farrell, P. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 2610. (g) Terrier, F.; Xie, H. Q.; Lelièvre, J.; Boubaker, T.; Farrell, P. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1990**, 1899. (h) Terrier, F.; Croisat, D.; Chatrousse, A. P.; Ponet, M. J.; Hallé, J. C.; Jacob, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, 57, 3684. (i) Terrier, F.; Lan, X.; Farrell, P. G.; Moskowitz, D. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1992**, 1259. (j) Terrier, F.; Boubaker, T.; Xia, L.; Farrell, P. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 3924. (k) Moutiers, G.; El Fahid, B.; Collot, A.-G.; Terrier, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1996**, 49. (l) Moutiers, G.; Thuet, V.; Terrier, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1997**, 1479. (m) Moutiers, G.; Reignieux, A.; Terrier, F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1998**, 2489.

<sup>(10)</sup> McLennan, D. J. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1983**, *36*, 1513.

<sup>(11)</sup> Grunwald, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 125. (12) Marcus, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 7224.

<sup>(13)</sup> Slater, C. D.; Chan, Y. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2423.

eq 4 is given by eq 5; the  $K_a^{\text{NOH}}/(K_a^{\text{NOH}} + a_H^+)$  term only becomes important in highly acidic solutions.

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = k_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + k_1^{\text{OH}}[\text{OH}^-] + k_1^{\text{B}}[\text{B}] +
$$
  

$$
(k_{-1}^{\text{H}}a_{\text{H}^+} + k_{-1}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + k_{-1}^{\text{BH}}[\text{BH}^+]) \frac{K_a^{\text{NOH}}}{K_a^{\text{NOH}} + a_{\text{H}^+}}
$$
(5)

**A. Reactions of 4H with Amines.** The reaction with the following amines were examined: piperidine, piperazine, *N*-(2 hydroxyethyl)piperazine, morpholine, *n*-butylamine, 2-methoxyethylamine, glycinamide, and aminoacetonitrile. The rates were measured in 1:1 buffers, that is, at a pH that corresponds to the  $pK_a^{\text{BH}}$  of the protonated amines. For all amines except for aminoacetonitrile, the relationship  $pK_a^{\text{BH}} \gg pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  holds, and hence eq 5 reduces to eq 6 hence eq 5 reduces to eq 6.

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = k_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + k_1^{\text{OH}}[\text{OH}^-] + k_1^{\text{B}}[\text{B}]
$$
 (6)

Figure  $S2^{25}$  shows some representative plots of  $k_{obsd}$  versus amine concentration which yield  $k_1^{\text{B}}$  values from the slopes;  $k_{\text{H}}^{\text{BH}}$  can be calculated as  $k_{\text{H}}^{\text{BH}} = k_{\text{I}}^{\text{B}} K_{\text{a}}^{\text{BH}} / K_{\text{a}}^{\text{CH}}$ . The intercepts are too small to provide meaningful data on  $k_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  and/or  $k_1^{\text{OH}}$ .

For aminoacetonitrile ( $pK_a^{\text{BH}} = 5.61$  in water and  $pK_a^{\text{BH}}$ <br>89 in 50% DMSO/50% water), the relationship  $pK_a^{\text{BH}}$ 5.39 in 50% DMSO/50% water), the relationship  $pK_a^{BH} \leq$  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  holds, and hence eq 5 takes on the form of eq 7:

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = k_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + k_{-1}^{\text{H}} a_{\text{H}^+} + k_1^{\text{B}}[\text{B}] + k_{-1}^{\text{BH}}[\text{BH}^+]
$$
(7)

in this pH range,  $k_1^{\text{OH}}$ [OH<sup>-</sup>] and  $k_{-1}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  are negligible and  $K_{\text{a}}^{\text{NOH}}$ <br>still graatly exceeds  $\alpha_{\text{tot}}$ . The slopes of plots of  $k_{\text{tot}}$  versus [B] still greatly exceeds  $a_{H^+}$ . The slopes of plots of  $k_{obsd}$  versus [B] are given by eq 8.

slope = 
$$
k_1^B \left( 1 + \frac{a_{H^+}}{K_a^{CH}} \right)
$$
 (8)

For the reactions in water, such slopes were determined at eight different pH values ranging from pH 4.40 to 6.30. By plotting these slopes versus  $a_{\text{H}}$ <sup>+</sup> (Figure S3<sup>25</sup>),  $k_{\text{I}}^{\text{B}} = 21.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ <br>and  $pK_{\text{a}}^{\text{CH}} = 5.54 \pm 0.03$  were obtained; the  $pK_{\text{a}}^{\text{CH}}$  value is in<br>excellent agreement with the spectrophotometr excellent agreement with the spectrophotometric value of 5.58, and the average, 5.56, will be adopted as the actual  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$ . In 50% DMSO/50% water, the slope according to eq 8 was determined only at one pH (5.39); in this case,  $k_1^B$  was obtained using the known  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$ .

**B. Reaction of 4H with OH**-**.** In water, the rate of deprotonation of **4H** by OH- was measured in KOH solutions, ranging in concentrations from  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $3.66 \times 10^{-3}$  M. Under these conditions, eq 5 reduces to  $k_{\text{obsd}} = k_{\text{1}}^{\text{OH}}[\text{OH}^{-}]$ . In 50%, DMSO/50%, water, the deprotonation was too fast for 50% DMSO/50% water, the deprotonation was too fast for measurements in KOH solutions. However,  $k_1^{\text{OH}}$  could be obtained by determining the intercepts of plots of  $k_{obsd}$  versus *n*-butylamine concentration obtained at various buffer ratios in the pH range from pH 10.61 to 12.12. These intercepts



**FIGURE** 1. Reaction of  $4^-$  with H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>. Plots of  $k_{obsd}$  versus  $a_{H}$ + according to eq 9.  $\bullet$ , Reaction in water (bottom *x*-axis); O, reaction in 50% DMSO/50% water (top *x*-axis).

**TABLE 1. Rate Constants for Proton Transfer in Water at 25** °**C** and in 50% DMSO/50% Water  $(v/v)$  at 20 °C,  $\mu = 0.5$  M (KCl)

		$k_1^{\rm B}$	$k_{-1}^{\text{BH}}$					
base	$\mathrm{p}K_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{BH}}$	$(M^{-1} s^{-1})^b$	$(M^{-1} s^{-1})^c$					
water (25 °C), $pK_a^{\text{CH}} = 5.56 \pm 0.02$								
piperidine	11.39	$1.53 \times 10^{5}$	$2.16 \times 10^{-1}$					
piperazine	10.15	$2.71 \times 10^{4}$	$6.65 \times 10^{-1}$					
HEPA <sup>a</sup>	9.43	$9.71 \times 10^{3}$	$1.25 \times 10^{0}$					
morpholine	8.97	$3.98 \times 10^{3}$	$1.48 \times 10^{0}$					
$n$ -BuNH <sub>2</sub>	10.78	$5.87 \times 10^{4}$	$3.38 \times 10^{-1}$					
MeOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	9.60	$4.60 \times 10^{3}$	$4.01 \times 10^{-1}$					
H <sub>2</sub> NCOCH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	8.23	$5.68 \times 10^{2}$	$1.16 \times 10^{0}$					
NCCH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	5.61	$2.81 \times 10^{1}$	$2.40 \times 10^{1}$					
$OH^-$	$15.10^{d}$	$2.65 \times 10^{5}$ e	$2.26 \times 10^{-3}$					
H <sub>2</sub> O	1.74	$1.22 \times 10^{-2}$ s	$4.46 \times 10^{3 h}$					
	50% DMSO/50% H <sub>2</sub> O (20 °C), $pK_s^{\text{CH}} = 5.95 \pm 0.05$							
piperidine	11.02	$7.00 \times 10^{4}$	$5.96 \times 10^{-1}$					
piperazine	9.90	$3.10 \times 10^{4}$	$3.40 \times 10^{0}$					
HEPA <sup>a</sup>	9.51	$1.93 \times 10^{4}$	$5.32 \times 10^{0}$					
morpholine	8.72	$6.06 \times 10^{4}$	$1.03 \times 10^{1}$					
$n$ -BuNH <sub>2</sub>	10.61	$1.90 \times 10^{4}$	$4.16 \times 10^{-1}$					
MeOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	9.63	$5.16 \times 10^{3}$	$1.08 \times 10^{0}$					
H <sub>2</sub> NCOCH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	8.28	$9.12 \times 10^{2}$	$4.27 \times 10^{0}$					
NCCH <sub>2</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	5.39	$2.63 \times 10^{1}$	$9.54 \times 10^{1}$					
$OH^-$	$17.33^{i}$	$4.39 \times 10^{5j}$	$5.04 \times 10^{-5}$ k					
H <sub>2</sub> O	$-1.44$	$1.63 \times 10^{-21}$	$1.45 \times 10^{4}$ m					

*<sup>a</sup> N*-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine. *<sup>b</sup>* Error limits better than 6%. *<sup>c</sup>* Error limits better than 15%. *d* p $K_w = 15.89$  (ref 35). *e*  $k_{\text{I}}^{\text{OH}} = (2.65 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$   $\epsilon^{-1}$  *f*  $k_{\text{2}}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = (1.22 \pm 0.04) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$  $10^5$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.  $f k_{-1}^{H_2O} = (2.26 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup>.  $g k_1^{H_2O} = (1.22 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. *h*<sub>1</sub><sup>H</sup> = (4.46 + 0.11)  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. *i* n<sup>*K*</sup> = 13.63 (Pranderiz)  $10^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. *h*  $k_{-1}^{\text{H}}$ <br>L · Fiol S · S<sub>3</sub>  $10^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>.  $^{h}$   $k_{-1}^{H} = (4.46 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{3}$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>*i*</sup> p*K<sub>w</sub>* = 13.63 (Brandariz, I.; Fiol, S.; Sastre Vicente, M. *Ber. Bunsen-Ges Phys. Chem.* **1995**, 99, 749).  $j k_1^{\text{OH}} = (4.39 \pm 0.28) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .  $k_{\text{H}_1}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = (5.04 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .  $l k_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = (1.63 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .  $m k_{\text{-1}}^{\text{H}} = (1.45 \pm 0.15) \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

correspond to  $k_1^{H_2O} + k_1^{OH} [OH^-]$ . A plot of these intercepts<br>versus  $[OH^-]$  is shown in Figure  $S_1^{25}$  It vialds  $k_{OH} = (4.39 +$ versus [OH<sup>-</sup>] is shown in Figure S4.<sup>25</sup> It yields  $k_1^{\text{OH}} = (4.39 \pm 0.28) \times 10^5$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; in principle, the intercent corresponds to  $(0.28) \times 10^5$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; in principle, the intercept corresponds to  $k_1^{H_2O}$ , but its experimental uncertainty is much too large to provide a reliable  $k_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  value. A more reliable  $k_1^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  was obtained based on experiments in HCl solutions described below.

<sup>(23)</sup> Bernasconi, C. F. *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 4017.

<sup>(24) (</sup>a) Bernasconi, C. F.; Ketner, R. J.; Ragains, M. L.; Chen, X.; Rappoport, Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 2155. (b) Bernasconi, C. F.; Brown, S. D.; Eventova, I.; Rappoport, Z. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, in press. (25) See paragraph concerning Supporting Information at the end of this



**FIGURE 2.** Statistically corrected Brønsted plots for the reaction of **4H** with amines in water. Filled symbols,  $k_1^B$ ; open symbols,  $k_{-1}^{BH}$ . and  $\circ$ , primary aliphatic amines;  $\blacksquare$  and  $\Box$ , secondary alicyclic amines. The dashed line goes through the points where  $log(k_1^B/q)$  and  $log(k_{-1}^{BH}/p)$  lines intersect, which corresponds to log  $k_0$ .

**C. Reaction of 4<sup>-</sup> with H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>. Rates of protonation of 4<sup>-</sup>** by the hydronium ion were measured in HCl solutions after converting **4H** into its anion in a dilute KOH solution. Plots of  $k_{\text{obsd}}$  versus  $a_{\text{H}}$ <sup>+</sup> for the reaction in water are shown in Figure 1. They are given by eq 9.

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = \frac{k_{-1}^{\text{H}} K_{\text{a}}^{\text{NOH}} a_{\text{H}+}}{K_{\text{a}}^{\text{NOH}} + a_{\text{H}+}} \tag{9}
$$

A nonlinear least-squares fit of the data to eq 9 provided  $K_a^{\text{NOH}}$  $= (1.05 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$  (p $K_0^{\text{NOH}} = 0.98 \pm 0.02$ ) and  $K_{-1}^{\text{H}}$ <br> $= (4.46 \pm 0.11) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup> in water, and  $K_{-1}^{\text{NOH}} = (3.72 \pm 1.02)$  $= (4.46 \pm 0.11) \times 10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in water, and  $K_{\text{a}}^{\text{NOH}} = (3.72 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-2}$  M<sup>-1</sup> (p $K_{\text{a}}^{\text{NOH}} = 1.43 \pm 0.04$ ) and  $k_{-1}^{\text{H}} = (1.45 \pm 0.15) \times 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in 50% DMSO/50% water  $0.15) \times 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in 50% DMSO/50% water.

#### **Discussion**

All rate constants determined in this study are reported in Table 1. Figures 2 and 3 show Brønsted plots for the reactions of **4H** with the primary aliphatic and secondary alicyclic amines. The Brønsted coefficients and  $log k_0$  values for the intrinsic rate constants are summarized in Table 2. The  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values are in the range normally observed in proton transfers involving nitroalkanes and thus require no further comment. The fact that log *k*<sup>0</sup> for the primary amines is about 1 log unit lower than that for the secondary amines is also typical $27$  and indicates that steric crowding at the transition state is not important.<sup>29</sup>



**FIGURE 3.** Statistically corrected Brønsted plots for the reaction of **4H** with amines in 50% DMSO/50% water. Filled symbols,  $k_1^B$ ; open symbols,  $k_{\text{H}}^{\text{BH}}$   $\bullet$  and  $\circ$ , primary aliphatic amines;  $\blacksquare$  and  $\Box$ , secondary alieve is a discussion and a discussion of the points where alicyclic amines. The dashed line goes through the points where  $log(k_1^B/q)$  and  $log(k_{-1}^{BH}/p)$  lines intersect, which corresponds to log  $k_0$ .

**TABLE 2. Brønsted Coefficients and Log** *k***<sup>0</sup> Values for the Reactions of 4H with Primary Aliphatic and Secondary Alicyclic Amines**

		α	$\log k_0^a$				
water $(25 °C)$							
primary amines	$0.63 \pm 0.07$	$0.37 \pm 0.07$	$0.97 \pm 0.26$				
secondary amines	$0.64 \pm 0.04$	$0.34 \pm 0.04$	$1.22 \pm 0.17$				
50% DMSO/50% H <sub>2</sub> O							
primary amines	$0.55 \pm 0.01$	$0.45 \pm 0.01$	$1.45 \pm 0.02$				
secondary amines	$0.45 \pm 0.05$	$0.55 \pm 0.05$	$2.44 \pm 0.06$				
<sup><i>a</i></sup> Log $k_0 = \log(k_1^B/q) = \log(k_{-1}^B/p)$ for $pK_a^{BH} - pK_a^{CH} + \log(p/q) = 0$ ; <i>q</i> and $p$ are statistical factors; see ref 20.							

The focus of our discussion will be on how the intrinsic rate constants for  $4H$  compare with the log  $k_0$  values for the other nitroalkanes. Table 3 lists such  $log k_0$  values for the deprotonation of a variety of nitroalkanes by amines and carboxylate ions in water and 50% DMSO/50% water (v/v). The nitroalkanes are arranged in an order of increasing acidity spanning a  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$ range of 5.61 units in water and 6.45 units in 50% DMSO/50% water.

It is noteworthy that **4H** stands out by having the highest log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  and  $log k_0(RNH_2)$  values of any of the nitroalkanes in 50% DMSO/50% water and the second highest log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  in water. Since its  $pK_a^{CH}$  is quite low, this suggests some correlation between intrinsic rate constants and acidity. However, as Figures 4 and 5 show, the correlation between log  $k_0$  and  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  is rather poor. This is because the various factors that typically affect acidities, such as resonance, inductive, steric, polarizability, solvation effects, and hyperconjugation, exert either enhanced, diminished, or even opposite effects on intrinsic rate constants. The underlying reason for this state of affairs is

<sup>(27)</sup> The lower intrinsic reactivity of primary amines is the result of the stronger solvation of their protonated form compared to that of the protonated secondary amines, coupled with the fact that at the transition state solvation of the incipient ammonium ions lags behind proton transfer.21,28

<sup>(28) (</sup>a) Bell, R. P. *The Proton in Chemistry*, 2nd ed.; Cornell University: Ithaca, NY, 1973; Chapter 10. (b) Jencks, W. P. *Catalysis in Chemistry and Enzymology*; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1968; p 178.

<sup>(29)</sup> When there is substantial steric crowding, the difference between log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  and log  $k_0(RNH_2)$  becomes smaller.<sup>9c,30</sup>

<sup>(30) (</sup>a) Bernasconi, C. F.; Bunnell, R. D.; Terrier, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 6514. (b) Bernasconi, C. F.; Fairchild, D. E. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *5*, 409. (c) Bernasconi, C. F.; Sun, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 12526.

**TABLE 3. Intrinsic Rate Constants for Proton Transfers Involving Nitroalkanes***<sup>a</sup>*

		water $(25 °C)$			50% DMSO/50% H <sub>2</sub> O (20 °C)				
	nitroalkane	$pK_a^{\text{CH}}$	$log k_0(R_2NH)$	$log k_0(RNH_2)$	$log k_0(RCO_2^-)$	$pK_{a}^{\text{CH}}$	$log k_0(R_2NH)$	$log k_0(RNH_2)$	$log k_0(RCO_2^-)$
	CH <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> b	10.28	$-0.59$			11.32	0.73		
2	$HOCH_2CH_2NO_2c$	9.40	$-0.59$	$-1.30$	$-0.90$				
3	$(HOCH2)2CHNO2c$	9.07	$-1.14$	$-1.84$	$-1.09$				
$\overline{4}$	$PhCH_2CH_2NO_2^d$	8.55	$-1.16$	$-2.06$					
5	$PhCH2NO2b$	6.77	$-1.22$		$-2.10$	7.93	$-0.25$		$-0.57$
6	$PhSCH2NO2d$	6.67	1.02	$-0.13$					
	$MeO_2CCH_2NO_2$ (4H) <sup>e</sup>	5.56	1.22	0.97		5.95	2.44	1.45	
8	$CH_3CH(NO_2)$	5.24			1.00	5.13			1.70
9	PhCOCH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>28</sub>	4.67	1.57			4.87	1.87		

*<sup>a</sup>* R2NH refers to secondary alicyclic amines, RNH2 to primary aliphatic amines. *<sup>b</sup>* From ref 8a. *<sup>c</sup>* From ref 8f. *<sup>d</sup>* From ref 8h. *<sup>e</sup>* This work. *<sup>f</sup>* Bernasconi, C. F.; Kanavarioti, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 4829. *<sup>g</sup>* From ref 8g.



**FIGURE 4.** Correlation of intrinsic rate constants for the deprotonation of nitroalkanes with their  $pK_a^{CH}$  values in water.  $\bullet$ , Secondary alicyclic amines (R<sub>2</sub>NH);  $\blacktriangle$ , primary aliphatic amines (RNH<sub>2</sub>);  $\blacksquare$ , carboxylate ions  $(RCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>)$ . The numbering of the compounds corresponds to that in Table 3.

the imbalanced nature of the transition state of these reactions (eq 2). We now present a brief general description of the factors that affect the intrinsic rate constants; for more details, see ref 21. This will be followed by a discussion of which of these factors play a major role in determining the intrinsic rate constants of each individual nitroalkane.

**Resonance Effects.**  $\pi$ -Acceptor substituents that contribute to the stabilization of the nitronate ion by a resonance effect lower the intrinsic rate constant because they add their own imbalance at the transition state.

**Inductive Effects.** Electron-withdrawing (EW) substituents increase the intrinsic rate constant. This is the result of a disproportionately strong stabilization of the transition state relative to that of the anion because the developing negative charge is closer to the substituent at the transition state than in the nitronate ion. Electron-donating (ED) substituents have the opposite effect.

**Steric Effects.** Steric crowding at the transition state lowers the intrinsic rate constant since there is no corresponding effect on either reactant or product. However, internal steric crowding that prevents the nitro group from achieving coplanarity in the anion enhances the intrinsic rate constant by reducing the resonance effect.

**Polarizability Effects.** Polarizable substituents increase the intrinsic rate constant in a similar way as inductively stabilizing substituents. Their effect may be potentially greater because the polarizability drops off with the fourth power of distance while inductive effects drop off with the square of distance,<sup>31</sup> although the degree of transition state imbalance may play a role, as well.8h,21

**Solvation Effects.** Solvents that help stabilize the nitronate ion lower the intrinsic rate constant. This effect is particularly strong for hydrogen bonding solvation of the anionic nitro group in hydroxylic solvents. Substituents that help stabilize the nitronate ion reduce the demand for solvation which results in an increase in the intrinsic constant.

**Hyperconjugation.** The nitronate ions derived from nitroalkanes of the type  $RCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  receive substantial stabilization from hyperconjugation (structure b in eq  $10$ ).<sup>3</sup>

$$
R - CH_2 - CH = N \begin{matrix} + \sqrt{O} \\ 0 \end{matrix} \longrightarrow R - CH = CH - N \begin{matrix} - \sqrt{O} \\ 0 \end{matrix} \tag{10}
$$

Since hyperconjugation is akin to a resonance effect, its development at the transition state lags behind proton transfer and depresses the intrinsic rate constant.

The effects of the various factors described above are schematically summarized in Table 4. On the basis of the foregoing discussion, we can now analyze how the intrinsic rate constants for each individual nitroalkane are affected by these factors. This will provide the context for an understanding of why the intrinsic rate constants for the deprotonation of **4H** are so high. We shall use nitromethane as our reference nitroalkane for this purpose.

**CH3NO2.** Changing the solvent from water to 50% DMSO/ 50% water enhances log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  by 1.32 log units. The true solvent effect is actually somewhat larger because of the lower temperature (20 °C) in 50% DMSO/50% water compared to 25 °C in water. The increase in the intrinsic rate constant mainly reflects the reduced solvation of the nitronate ion in the less aqueous solvent. Similar increases are seen in all cases where such comparisons are available (PhCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, Δlog  $k_0$ (R<sub>2</sub>NH)  $= 0.97$ , Δlog  $k_0$ (RCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) = 1.53; MeO<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, Δlog<br> $k_0$ (R<sub>2</sub>NH) = 1.22 Δlog  $k_0$ (RNH<sub>2</sub>) = 0.48; CH<sub>2</sub>CH(NO<sub>2</sub>), Δlog  $k_0(R_2NH) = 1.22$ ,  $\Delta$ log  $k_0(RNH_2) = 0.48$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>CH(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,  $\Delta$ log  $k_0(\text{RCO}_2^-) = 0.70$ ; PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>,  $\Delta$ log  $k_0(\text{R}_2\text{NH}) = 0.30$ .<br>**HOCH-CH-NO<sub>2</sub>**, The log  $k_0(\text{R}_2\text{NH})$  value is the same a

**HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>.** The log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  value is the same as that for nitromethane. The HOCH<sub>2</sub> group is inductively electron

<sup>(31) (</sup>a) Taft, R. W. *Prog. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *14*, 247. (b) Taft, R. W.; Topsom, R. D. *Prog. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *16*, 1.



**FIGURE 5.** Correlation of intrinsic rate constants for the deprotonation of nitroalkanes with their  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  values in 50% DMSO/50% water.  $\bullet$ , Secondary alicyclic amines  $(R_2NH)$ ; A, primary aliphatic amines (RNH<sub>2</sub>);  $\blacksquare$ , carboxylate ions (RCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>). The numbering of the compounds corresponds to that in Table 3.

**TABLE 4. Summary of Factors that Affect Intrinsic Rate Constants**

factor	effect on $k_0$	factor	effect on $k_0$
EW inductive		ED inductive	
$\pi$ -acceptor		polarizability	
enhanced solvation		reduced solvation	
steric crowding at TS		hyperconjugation	
internal steric crowding			

withdrawing as manifested in the lower  $pK_a^{CH}$ . However, this inductive effect is too small to account for the entire  $0.9 \text{ pK}_a$ unit difference between this compound and  $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$ ; as discussed elsewhere,<sup>8f,h</sup> hyperconjugative stabilization of the nitronate ion contributes to the acidifying effect. It appears then that the intrinsic rate enhancing inductive effect is essentially offset by the intrinsic rate reducing hyperconjugation; there is also a small contribution to the reduction due to a less favorable statistical factor (i.e., 2 protons in  $HOCH_2CH_2NO_2$  versus 3 protons in  $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$ ).

**(HOCH<sub>2</sub>)CHNO<sub>2</sub>.** The log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  value is 0.55 log units lower than that for  $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  or  $HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and log  $k_0(RNH_2)$  is 0.54 log units lower than that for  $HOCH_2CH_2NO_2$ . In this case, besides the inductive and hyperconjugative factors, a steric effect comes into play; in combination with a still less favorable statistical factor, this tips the balance between the various effects toward a reduction in the intrinsic rate constants.

**PhCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>.** The log  $k_0$ (R<sub>2</sub>NH) value is 0.57 log units lower than that for  $CH_3NO_2$  or  $HOCH_2CH_2NO_2$ , and the log  $k_0(\text{RNH}_2)$  is 0.76 log units lower than that for  $\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NO}_2$ . As discussed in detail elsewhere,<sup>8h</sup> strong hyperconjugative stabilization of the nitronate ion appears to be the main factor here. This stabilization accounts for the  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}(8.55)$  which is lower than that of the  $HOCH_2CH_2NO_2$  (9.40),<sup>32</sup> and it explains the reduced intrinsic rate constants.

**TABLE 5.**  $pK_a^{\text{NOH}}$  and  $pK_N$  Values of Nitroalkanes

	water $(25 \degree C)$			50% DMSO/50% H <sub>2</sub> O (20 °C)			
nitroalkane	$pK_{\rm a}^{\rm NOH}$ $pK_{\rm a}^{\rm CH}$ $pK_{\rm N}^{a}$ $pK_{\rm a}^{\rm NOH}$ $pK_{\rm a}^{\rm CH}$					$pK_N^a$	
$CH3NO2$ <sup>b</sup>	3.25c	10.28	7.03				
$HOCH_2CH_2NO_2^d$	3.44		8.40 5.96				
$(HOCH2)CHNO2d$	3.60	9.07	5.47				
$PhCH2NO2$ <sup>b</sup>	3.64	6.79	3.13	4.75	7.93	3.18	
$MeO2CH2NO2$ (4H) <sup>e</sup>	0.98		5.56 4.58	1.43	5.95	4.52	
${}^a pK_N = pK_a^{\text{CH}} - pK_a^{\text{NOH}}$ . b From ref 8a. c From ref 34. d From ref 8f. e This work.							

**PhCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>.** The log  $k_0$ ( $R_2$ NH) value is 0.63 log units lower than  $\log k_0(R_2NH)$  for CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> in water, while in 50% DMSO/ 50% water, the difference is 0.98 log units. The main factor in this case is the additional resonance stabilization of the nitronate ion by the phenyl group which greatly increases the acidity (p  $K_{\text{el}}^{\text{CH}} = 6.77$ ) and lowers the intrinsic rate constant. The electron-withdrawing inductive effect of the phenyl group adds to the acidity enhancing effect but is insufficient to offset the resonance effect on  $k_0$ . Steric hindrance in these reactions with secondary amines may possibly contribute somewhat to the lowering of the intrinsic rate constants.

**PhSCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>.** The log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  value is 1.61 log units higher than log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  for CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, and log  $k_0(RNH_2)$  is 1.17 log units higher than  $log k_0(RNH_2)$  for  $HOCH_2CH_2NO_2$ . The low  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  (6.67) reflects the strong stabilization by the PhS group which is the combined result of its electron-withdrawing inductive effect and the high polarizability of the sulfur atom. Both of these effects contribute to the enhanced intrinsic rate constants, with the polarizability factor probably being dominant.

**CH3CH(NO2)2.** The intrinsic rate constants are quite high as can be seen by comparing  $log k_0(RCO_2^-)$  in water (1.00) with log  $k_0(\text{RCO}_2^-)$  for HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (-1.30), or log<br> $k_0(\text{RCO}_2^-)$  in 50% DMSO/50% water (1.70) with log  $k_0(\text{RCO}_2^-)$  $k_0(\text{RCO}_2^-)$  in 50% DMSO/50% water (1.70) with  $\log k_0(\text{RCO}_2^-)$ for PhCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (-0.57). The main factor here is that steric crowding prevents coplanarity of the two nitro groups in the anion; that is, the resonance effect is reduced and the strong nitronate ion stabilization is largely the result of the inductive effect. Both factors lead to an increase in the intrinsic rate constant. There is probably less hydrogen bonding solvation due to the smaller charge on the nitro group which contributes to the increased  $k_0$  values.

**PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>.** Both the NO<sub>2</sub> and PhCO groups are  $\pi$ -acceptors, but steric hindrance prevents the full development of their resonance effects while their inductive effects gain in relative importance. The result is that  $log k_0(R_2NH)$  in water is 2.16 log units greater than  $log k_0(R_2NH)$  for  $CH_3NO_2$ , while in 50% DMSO/50% water, this difference is 1.14 log units. That reduced solvation also plays a significant role in enhancing the intrinsic rate constants is seen in the larger difference between the log  $k_0(R_2NH)$  of PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> in water than in 50% DMSO/50% water or the rather small solvent effect on  $\log k_0(R_2NH)$  for PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> when changing from water (1.57) to 50% DMSO/50% water (1.87). Additional support for this conclusion comes from measurements of solvent transfer activity coefficients of the respective nitronate ions.<sup>8g</sup>

**MeO2CCH2NO2 (4H).** This is the nitroalkane with the highest intrinsic rate constants in 50% DMSO/50% water and the second highest in water. Relative to  $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$ , log

 $(32)$  The hyperconjugative stabilization provided by the PhCH<sub>2</sub> group is significantly stronger than that provided by the  $HOCH<sub>2</sub>$  group.<sup>81</sup>

 $k_0(R_2NH)$  for  $4H$  is 1.81 log units higher in water and 1.71 log units higher in 50% DMSO/50% water. The reasons for the high intrinsic rate constants are similar to those for PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>. The fact that the CO<sub>2</sub>Me group is a weaker  $\pi$ -acceptor<sup>33</sup> than the PhCO group is reflected in the higher  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  values  $(5.54 \text{ and } 5.95)$  compared to those for PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (4.67 and 4.87). This reduced resonance effect results in a log  $k_0(R_2NH)$ value in 50% DMSO/50% water (2.44) that is even higher than that for PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (1.87), although in water,  $\log k_0(R_2NH)$ for PhCOCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (1.57) is slightly higher than that for  $4H$ (1.22).

**Acidity of the Nitronic Acid (H4).** Table 5 provides a summary of  $pK_a^{NOH}$  values for **4H** and those nitroalkanes listed in Table 3 for which these values are known. The table also includes  $pK_N$  values defined as  $pK_{\rm a}^{\rm CH} - pK_{\rm a}^{\rm NOH}$ , which is a measure of Initronic acidl/Initroalkanel equilibrium ratios that measure of [nitronic acid]/[nitroalkane] equilibrium ratios, that is,  $K_N =$  [nitronic acid]/[nitroalkane].

There are two features that are noteworthy. (1) For the first four nitroalkanes, the  $pK_a^{\text{NOH}}$  values are essentially the same, while the  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  values decrease. As a result, the  $pK_N$  values follow the pattern of the  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  values, which implies an increase in the [nitronic acid]/[nitroalkane] ratio with increasing acidity of the nitroalkane. (2) The  $pK_a^{NOH}$  of **4H** is substantially lower than the  $pK_a^{\text{NOH}}$  values of the other nitroalkanes. Hence, despite the fact that **4H** has the lowest  $pK_a^{CH}$  value,  $pK_N$  is not as low as it would be if it followed the pattern of the other nitroalkanes.

Since the loss of a proton from the nitroalkane or from the nitronic acid yields the same anion, an explanation of the observed patterns cannot rely on factors that were invoked to explain the stabilization or destabilization of the anion but needs to focus on stabilizing/destabilizing features of the nitroalkane itself or, probably more importantly, of the nitronic acid.

Assuming that most of the stabilization/destabilization occurs in the nitronic acid, the constant  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  values for the first four entries in Table 5 imply that the nitronic acids derived from  $HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $(HOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHNO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $PhCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  enjoy a similar degree of stabilization relative to  $CH_2=NO_2H$  as the respective anions do relative to  $CH_2=NO_2^-$ . For HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>- $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $(HOCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHNO<sub>2</sub>$ , the most important factor appears to be hyperconjugation (structure d in eq 11), which is analogous to structure b in eq 10.

$$
R - CH_2 - CH = N \begin{matrix} + \sqrt{OH} & H^+ \\ 0 & R - CH = CH - N \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \text{OH} \\ \text{OH} \\ \text{O} \end{matrix} \tag{11}
$$

In fact, a case can be made that hyperconjugation is more effective for the nitronic acids than for the nitronate ions because structure d (eq 11) does not suffer from the destabilizing effect of two negative charges in close proximity as is the case for structure b (eq 10).

For  $PhCH<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$ , the strong stabilization of the nitronic acid is the result of a resonance effect (eq 12), as discussed previously.8a





**FIGURE 6.** Correlation of the intrinsic rate constants for thiolate ion addition to **<sup>5</sup>**-**<sup>8</sup>** with the equilibrium constants of thiolate addition (A) and with the intrinsic rate constants for deprotonation of the corresponding carbon acids (B).

In contrast to the other nitronic acids, for the nitronic acid of **4H** (i.e., **H4**), there is no possibility for hyperconjugation or resonance, and this appears to be the main reason why its  $pK_a^{NOH}$  is so much lower than that for the other nitronic acids.

**Correlation between Nucleophilic Addition to Alkenes and Proton Transfer.** The reaction of nucleophiles with alkenes of the types  $1-3$  leads to adducts whose structures resemble carbanions generated by deprotonation of carbon acids of the type  $H_2CXY$ . An example is shown in eq 13.



A logarithmic plot of the intrinsic rate constants for thiolate ion addition to **<sup>5</sup>**-**<sup>8</sup>** versus the corresponding equilibrium constants is shown in Figure 6A. The correlation is very poor just as is the case for the proton transfers (Figures 4 and 5). The reasons for the scatter diagram of Figure 6A are essentially the same as for the proton transfers; that is, the factors that determine the equilibrium constants affect the intrinsic rate (33) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. *Chem. Re*V*.* **<sup>1991</sup>**, *<sup>91</sup>*, 165. constants in a different way. However, as Figure 6B shows,

there is a reasonably good correlation between the intrinsic rate constants for nucleophilic addition and those for the deprotonation of the corresponding carbon acids, such as phenylnitromethane, methylnitroacetate, 1,3-indandione, and Meldrum's acid. This means that the intrinsic rate constants in both reaction series are affected by the same factors in a similar way.

### **Conclusions**

Intrinsic rate constants of proton transfers involving nitroalkanes are lower than those for any other class of carbon acids. However, there are large variations in these intrinsic rate constants among the nitroalkanes which are due to the influence exerted by substituents attached to the central carbon. In general, resonance, hyperconjugative, and steric effects exerted by these substituents lower the intrinsic rate constants. On the other hand, inductive and polarizability effects enhance  $k_0$  and so does internal steric crowding because it reduces the resonance effect of the nitro group. Another factor that increases  $k_0$  is reduced hydrogen bonding solvation that arises either from a change to a less hydroxylic solvent or from enhanced internal stabilization of the nitronate ion which lessens the demand for solvation.

The  $pK_a^{\text{NOH}}$  values of the nitronic acid **H4** are unusually low and do not follow the pattern observed for the other nitronic acids because **H4** does not derive any stabilization from hyperconjugation or resonance effect.

### **Experimental Section**

**Materials.** Methylnitroacetate was purchased from Aldrich; its purity was shown to be >99% by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. Amines were obtained from Aldrich and Acros as analytical grade and purified as follows. Piperidine, morpholine, *n*-butylamine, methoxyethylamine, and 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine were refluxed over CaH2 for 1 h and distilled under nitrogen. Aminoacetonitrile hydrochloride and glycinamide hydrochloride were recrystallized twice from 1:2 2-propanol/ethanol; piperazine was used without further purification. KOH and HCl solutions were made from DILUT-IT analytical concentrates (J.T. Baker). Ultrapure water was obtained from a Millipore-Q Plus water system. DMSO was distilled under vacuum over CaH2.

**pH Measurements.** pH measurements were made using an Orion 611 digital pH meter equipped with a glass electrode and a Sure-Flow (Corning) reference electrode. In 50% DMSO/50% water, the pH meters were calibrated using Hallé buffers, $34$  in water using standard buffers.

**UV Spectra and Kinetic Experiments.** UV spectra were recorded using an 8452A Agilent diode array spectrophotometer. All kinetic experiments were conducted in an Applied Photophysics DX.17MV stopped-flow spectrophotometer. The reactions were monitored at 295 nm (water) and 304 nm (50% DMSO/50% water), respectively. The reactions with KOH and most amine buffers were conducted by mixing a solution of **4H** with the appropriate buffer solution. For the reactions with HCl and with the most acidic aminoacetonitrile buffers, **4**- was first generated by incubation with a dilute KOH solution and then mixed with the buffer. The general methodology has been described elsewhere.8a

**Acknowledgment.** This research was supported by Grants CHE-9734822 and CHE-0446622 from the National Science Foundation.

**Supporting Information Available:** Figures S1-S4 showing data for the  $pK_a^{\text{CH}}$  determination and kinetic results. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# JO070372R

<sup>(34)</sup> Nielsen, A. T. In *The Chemistry of the Nitro and Nitroso Groups*; Feuer, H., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1969; Part 1, p 349.

<sup>(35)</sup> Halle´, J. C.; Gaboriaud, R.; Schaal, R. *Bull. Soc. Chim Fr.* **1970**, 2047.